### Recent results from XMASS



### K. Ichimura Kamioka observatory, ICRR, the University of Tokyo Kavli IPMU for the XMASS collaboration

Revealing the history of the universe with underground particle and nuclear research, May 11-13, 2016



### Contents



- · XMASS experiment
- Recent results from XMASS
  - direct dark matter search by annual modulation
  - two neutrino double electron capture on <sup>124</sup>Xe
- Toward next phase : XMASS-1.5

# The XMASS experiment



★ Multi purpose low-background experiment with LXe

- Xenon MASSive detector for solar neutrino (pp/<sup>7</sup>Be neutrino)
- Xenon neutrino MASS detector ( $\beta \beta$  decay)
- Xenon detector for Weakly Interacting MASSive Particles (DM)

#### solar neutrino



Ονββ





Dark Matter

#### The XMASS collaboration:

Kamioka Observatory, ICRR, the University of Tokyo:	Yokohama National University:
K. Abe, K. Hiraide, K. Ichimura, Y. Kishimoto, K. Kobayashi,	S. Nakamura
M. Kobayashi, S. Moriyama, M. Nakahata, T. Norita, H.	Miyagi University of Education:
Ogawa, H. Sekiya, S. Tasaka	Y. Fukuda
O. Takachio, A. Takeda, M. Yamashita, B. Yang	ISEE, Nagoya University:
Kavli IPMU, the University of Tokyo:	Y. Itow, R. Kegasa, K. Kobayashi, K. Masuda, H. Takiya
K.Martens, Y. Suzuki, X. Benda	IBS:
Kobe University:	N. Y. Kim, Y. D. Kim
R. Fujita, K. Hosokawa, K. Miuchi, Y. Ohnishi, N. Oka, Y.	KRISS:
Takeuchi	Y. H. Kim, M. K. Lee, K. B. Lee, J. S. Lee
Tokai University:	Tokushima University:
K. Nishijima	K.Fushimi

#### 10 institutes ~40 researchers.

# The XMASS experiment

#### XMASS-I Current phase

XMASS 1.5 next phase



1~3 ton (FV)/ 6 ton

 $1.5 \text{m}\phi$ 

DM search

 $\sigma_{\rm SI} < 10^{-46} \, \rm cm^2$ 

pp solar neutrinos

~a few events/day

#### XMASS-II

TILITY



- · Phasing Approach
- · XMASS-I aims at the search for dark matter

#### >10 ton (FV)/ 24 ton

Multi purpose : DM search  $\sigma_{SI} < 10^{-48} \text{ cm}^2$ pp-solar neutrinos: 10 cpd double-beta decay of <sup>136</sup>Xe

### Detector and its characteristic(1)



- Located in the Kamioka mine in Japan (~2700 m.w.e.)
- Single phase liquid xenon detector with 832 kg LXe sensitive volume.
  - its scalability for further detector upgrade
- 642 low background 2inch PMTs : 62% photo-cathodes coverage
- High light Yield (~15 p.e. / keV ) and Low energy threshold
  - Achieved 0.3 keV in XMASS-I (full volume)
  - 2 keV for fiducial volume analysis
- High sensitivity for e/γ events as well as nuclear recoil
  - Able to detect Axion Like Particles (ALP), hidden photon, inelastic scattering and so on, as well as "Standard" WIMPs



# PMT R10789

### Detector and its characteristic(2)



Background rate in the fiducial volume before separation of nuclear recoils from e/ $\gamma$ 

#### Lowest BG rate at a few 10's keV

XMASS achieved O(10<sup>-4</sup>) events/ day/kg/keV<sub>ee</sub> at a few 10's keV

Sensitive to WIMP inelastic scattering, bosonic super-WIMPs,  $2\nu$  double electron capture etc.

Even modest background at low
energy, XMASS has good sensitivity
with a large mass and low energy
threshold.



Added to D.C.Malling thesis (2014) Fig.1.5



- PMT AI seal were covered by copper ring and plate to reduce BG as detector refurbishment
- After refurbishment, event ~5 keV is reduced to ~1/10.
- Now, the 3rd year continuity operation is ongoing.
  - The longest running time among LXe detectors!









# Direct dark matter search by annual modulation in XMASS-I

## annual modulation

- Event rate of dark matter signal is expected to modulate annually due to relative motion of the Earth around the Sun
- Annual modulation claimed by DAMA/LIBRA
  - · Total exposure : 1.33 ton · year, 14 cycles.
  - $\cdot$  9.3  $\sigma$  significance
  - Modulation amplitude : (0.0112±0.0012) cpd/kg/keV for 2-6 keV
  - No particle ID (including electron signals)
- XMASS-I annual modulation analysis
  - 1 year exposure ( = 0.83 ton  $\cdot$  year ) is comparable exposure time.
  - Low analysis threshold (1.1 keVee) without particle ID



R. Bernabei et al., Eur. Phys. J. C (2013) 73:2648





### Stability Check by Detector calibration

Stepping

Moter

- Inner Calibration sources : <sup>55</sup>Fe, <sup>109</sup>Cd, <sup>241</sup>Am, <sup>57</sup>Co and <sup>137</sup>Cs
- The scintillation light yield response was traced by <sup>57</sup>Co 122 keV calibration data taken every (bi-)week, from Z=-40cm to +40cm
- Intrinsic light yield of the liquid xenon scintillator, absorption and scattering length for the scintillation light extracted from the data/MC comparison



### Stability Check by Detector calibration

- From the <sup>57</sup>Co calibration data, We observed p.e. yield changes :
- 1) sudden drop at the power failure
- 2)It recovered after purification work in gas phase
- 3)we continuously circulate the gas purification
- We can trace observed p.e. yield change as a changes the absorption length.
- Absorption length change : 4m ~ 11m
- Scattering length : remains stable at 52cm
- Relative intrinsic light yield : stayed within ±0.6%
- Uncertainties due to this instability is taken into account.



# Data set & event selection



1.ID trigger event (≥4 hit), no outer detector hits.

2.Veto 10ms after the events

3.RMS of time hits < 100 ns

4.Remove Cherenkov events (orig. in glass)

remove events which have num. of hits in earlier
 20ns > 60% of total hits.

5.Remove events in front of PMT

remove events which have higher maxPE/totalPE ratio





# Modulation analysis method

- The data set was divided into 40 time-bin (roughly 10 days livetime each)
- The data in each time-bins were further divided into energy-bin (bin width =  $0.5 \text{ keV}_{ee}$ )
- Two fitting methods were performed. Both of them fit all energy/time bins simultaneously
- Systematic error due to time dependence of light yield was treated by following two method as a relative efficiency difference

#### 7 GeV WIMPs w/ 2 x 10<sup>-40</sup> cm<sup>2</sup> 8 GeV WIMPs w/ 2 x 10<sup>-40</sup> cm<sup>2</sup>



#### Method 1 : pull term

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{i}^{E_{bins}} \sum_{j}^{t_{bins}} \left( \frac{(R_{i,j}^{\text{data}} - R_{i,j}^{\text{ex}} - \alpha K_{i,j})^2}{\sigma(\text{stat})_{i,j}^2 + \sigma(\text{sys})_{i,j}^2} \right) + \alpha^2,$$

R<sup>data</sup>: observed data,R<sup>ex</sup>: expected rate  $\sigma$  (stat) : statistical error,  $\sigma$  (sys) : systematic error K<sub>ij</sub> : 1  $\sigma$  correlated syst. error on the expected event rate based on the relative cut effciency

#### Method 2 : covariance matrix

$$\chi^{2} = \sum_{k,l}^{N_{\text{bins}}} (R_{k}^{\text{data}} - R_{k}^{\text{ex}}) (V_{\text{stat}} + V_{\text{sys}})_{kl}^{-1} (R_{l}^{\text{data}} - R_{l}^{\text{ex}}),$$

R<sup>data</sup>: observed data, R<sup>ex</sup>: expected rate, Nbins: Ebins x tbins



# WIMP case

#### time variation data was fitted by:

$$R_{i,j}^{\text{ex}} = \int_{t_j - \frac{1}{2}\Delta t_j}^{t_j + \frac{1}{2}\Delta t_j} \left( C_i + \sigma_{\chi n} \cdot A_i(m_{\chi}) \cos 2\pi \frac{(t - t_0)}{T} \right) dt,$$

#### WIMP case :

- Modulation amplitude becomes a function of the WIMP mass
- 2D fitting (time and energy bin),
   Fitted in 1.1-15 keV<sub>ee</sub> energy
   range
- No significant signal, derived < 4.3x10<sup>-41</sup> cm<sup>2</sup> at 8 GeV (90% C.L.)
- DAMA/LIBRA region is mostly excluded by annual modulation search

V<sub>0</sub> : 220 km/s V<sub>esc</sub> : 650 km/s  $\rho_{dm} = 0.3 \text{ GeV/cm3}$ V<sub>esc</sub> : 544 km/s gives < 5.4x10<sup>-41</sup> cm<sup>2</sup> A<sub>i</sub> : Amplitude C<sub>i</sub> : Constant  $\sigma_{\chi}$  : WIMP-nucleus cross section m<sub>\chi</sub>: WIMP mass t<sub>0</sub> : 152.5 day T : 1 year







# Model independent case

#### time variation data was fitted by:

$$R_{i,j}^{\text{ex}} = \int_{t_j - \frac{1}{2}\Delta t_j}^{t_j + \frac{1}{2}\Delta t_j} \left( C_i + A_i \cos 2\pi \frac{(t - t_0)}{T} \right) dt$$



- A<sub>i</sub> : Amplitude(free)
- C<sub>i</sub>: Constant (free) t<sub>0</sub>: 152.5 day
- T:1 year
- Independent of any specific dark matter model
  - 1.1-7.6 keV<sub>ee</sub> energy range was used for fitting procedure
- Significance was evaluated with test statistic (10,000 samples) and no significant modulated signal has been observed. (p-value =  $0.014 (2.5 \sigma)$ ,  $0.068(1.8 \sigma)$  for 2 fitting method)

(1.7 - 3.7) x 10<sup>-3</sup> counts/day/kg/keV<sub>ee</sub> in 2-6 keV<sub>ee</sub> (0.5 keV<sub>ee</sub> bin width, 90% C.L. Bayesian)

- 0.02 counts/day/kg/keVee by DAMA/ LIBRA, closed to XENON100 sensitivity
- More stringent constraint
- Another one year cycle data with more stable data has been taken.







### Search for two-neutrino double electron capture on <sup>124</sup>Xe with the XMASS-I detector



- Two orbital electrons are captured simultaneously
- $2\nu$  mode : allowed in the standard model, but there exists only a few experimental result :
- ·  $^{130}Ba : T_{1/2} = (2.2 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{21}$  years
- ·  $^{78}$ Kr : T<sub>1/2</sub> = (9.2<sup>+5/5</sup>-2.6(stat)±1.3(sys))x10<sup>21</sup> years
- Any measurement of  $2\nu$  mode will provide a new reference for the calculation of nuclear matrix elements from the proton-rich side of the mass parabola of even-even isobars
- $0\nu$  mode : lepton number violating process as well as  $0\nu\beta\beta$  decay

### $^{124,126}$ Xe $2\nu$ double electron capture





- <sup>124</sup>Xe 2 $\nu$  double electron capture (2 $\nu$  ECEC) :
- $\frac{124}{\text{Xe}}$  (g.s., 0+) + 2e<sup>-</sup>  $\rightarrow \frac{124}{\text{Te}}$  (g.s., 0+) + 2 $\nu_{e}$  + 2864 keV
- In case that 2 K-shell electron capture, signal is total energy deposition of 63.6 keV from atomic X-rays and Auger electrons.
- Theoretical prediction of  $T_{1/2}^{2\nu 2K}$ (124Xe) : 10<sup>20</sup> ~ 10<sup>24</sup> year
- experimental results :  $T_{1/2}^{2\nu 2K}(124 \text{Xe}) > 2.0 \times 10^{21}$  years (90% C.L.), w/ proportional counter

<sup>126</sup>Xe can also undergo  $2\nu$  ECEC, but this reaction is much slower (Q<sub>ECEC</sub> = 896 keV)





20

### $^{124,126}$ Xe $2\nu$ double electron capture



Signal MC :

- $\cdot$  X-rays and Auger electrons after 2  $\nu$  2K capture are simulated
- The energy window (56-72 keV) is determined so that it contains 90% of the simulated signal
- $\cdot$  Signal Detection efficiency = 59.7%

Data set : 165.9 days LiveTime commissioning run data, R  $\leq$  15cm fiducial volume (41kg natural Xe, 39g <sup>124</sup>Xe, 36g of <sup>126</sup>Xe)

5 events are left in the signal region after all cuts



(1)Pre-Selection+ 15cm radius cut
(2) (1)+ Timing cut
(3) (2)+ Band-like pattern cut



(1)Pre-Selection+ 15cm radius cut
(2) (1)+ Timing cut
(3) (2)+ Band-like pattern cut

Expected signal with  $T_{1/2}(2\nu 2K) = 4.7 \times 10^{21}$  yr. Expected <sup>214</sup>Pb background

### $^{124,126}$ Xe $2\nu$ double electron capture

Dark Matter Search

- The main contribution to the remaining BG is the <sup>214</sup>Pb (<sup>222</sup>Rn : 8.2±0.5 mBq/det.)
  - Expected <sup>214</sup>Pb BG is 5.3±0.5 events, no significant excess above BG was observed
- We set the world best limit on the half life :
  - $T_{1/2}^{2\nu 2K}$ (124Xe) > 4.7x10<sup>21</sup> years (90% C.L.)
  - $T_{1/2}^{2\nu 2K}$ (126Xe) > 4.3x10<sup>21</sup> years (90% C.L.)



Excess in the highest bin : due to  $\gamma$  ray from <sup>131m</sup>Xe (163.9 keV)



(1)Pre-Selection+ 15cm radius cut
(2) (1)+ Timing cut
(3) (2)+ Band-like pattern cut

Expected signal with  $T_{1/2}(2\nu 2K) = 4.7 \times 10^{21}$  yr. Expected <sup>214</sup>Pb background





# Future of XMASS, Toward next phase : XMASS-1.5

### Toward XMASS1.5 and II XMASS-I

Current phase

XMASS 1.5 next phase

TITIT

### 100kg (FV)/832 kg 80cm*ø*

#### DM search

 $1 \sim 3 \text{ ton (FV)} / 6 \text{ ton}$  $1.5 \text{m} \phi$ 

DM search σ<sub>SI</sub> < 10<sup>-46</sup> cm<sup>2</sup> pp solar neutrinos ⊷a few events/day• >10 ton (FV)/ 24 ton

Multi purpose : DM search σ<sub>SI</sub> < 10<sup>-48</sup> cm<sup>2</sup> pp-solar neutrinos: 10 cpd double-beta decay of <sup>136</sup>Xe

✓ To improve the sensitivity,

- increase the fiducial volume
- discriminate against BG events, especially surface BG
- select ultra low BG detector material

are needed

# surface BG identification

**Scintillation Photons** 

Quartz Photo cathode

XMASS-I flat PMTs high probability to miss detecting the photons from the near surface →leads to miss reconstruction

flat window 2-inch PMT R10789



XMASS 1.5 dome shape PMTs can detect photons from near surface →hit pattern info. can reject surface BGs.

Dome shape window 3-inch PMT R13111 Large detection efficiency for nearby events.



## surface BG identification

**Scintillation Photons** 

Quartz Photo cathode

XMASS-I flat PMTs high probability to miss detecting the photons from the near surface →leads to miss reconstruction



XMASS 1.5 dome shape PMTs can detect photons from near surface →hit pattern info. can reject surface BGs.



Neighbor 3 PMTs detects ~50% photon from surface BG

# surface BG identification



- BG rejection ~  $10^{-5}$  while keeping 20% signal efficiency
- Even for the same BG level as in the XMASS-I detector, we can achieve 10<sup>-5</sup> counts/ day/keV/kg level
- Further material screening and improvement of the analysis will achieve much lower BG level.
- Reduction of surface BG may leads to increase fiducial mass from 1 ton to ~ 3 ton



# Dark Matter Search

### Other feature of R13111

#### Material screening

- Target RI level : 0.1 mBq/PMT for U/Th chain
- No dirty AI is used for seal ( > 3 order magnitude lower U)
- · Kovar (large <sup>60</sup>Co RI) metal is replaced to Co free metal
- Parts-by-parts RI measurement using HPGe, Alpha counter, ICPMS/GDMS
- High and uniform collection efficiency for whole area (side part of dome shape).
  - $\cdot$  > 80% collection eff. Q.E. ~ 30%
- TTS : shorter TTSand high timing resolution (TTS ~5.6ns $\rightarrow$  2.9ns)
  - improve the reduction power of Cherenkov events
- Shorter total length : 101.5mm  $\rightarrow$  87.5mm
  - Thinner PMT holder gives reducing the holder weight, can enlarge sensitive LXe region.
- Not only Surface/PMT BG reduction, but also inner detector RI reduction
  - <sup>85</sup>Kr : distillation
  - <sup>222</sup>Rn : material screening such as cables (Rn emanation measurement using Rn detector),







### Expected Sensitivity

XMASS1.5 : 1~3 ton fiducial / Total ~6 ton

- Target sensitivity : < 10<sup>-46</sup> cm<sup>2</sup> for 100 GeV WIMPs
- ~1x10<sup>-5</sup> counts/keV/kg/day
- Target : Both nuclear recoil and electron recoil processes (ex. ALPs)





![](_page_28_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Summary

![](_page_29_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Recent Results from XMASS-I are shown.
  - · Annual modulation
    - WIMP : < 4.3x10<sup>-41</sup> cm<sup>2</sup> at 8 GeV, DAMA/LIBRA region is mostly excluded by annual modulation search
    - Model Independent Analysis : upper limit amplitude < (1.7-3.7)x10<sup>-3</sup> counts/kg/day/keV<sub>ee</sub>, more stringent constraint
  - · Search for  $2\nu$  double electron capture
    - We set the world best limit on the half life :
    - ·  $T_{1/2}^{2\nu 2K}$ (124Xe) > 4.7x10<sup>21</sup> years (90% C.L.)
    - ·  $T_{1/2}^{2\nu 2K}$ (126Xe) > 4.3x10<sup>21</sup> years (90% C.L.)
- Next step : XMASS1.5
  - Use dome shape PMTs to identify surface BG effectively
  - $\cdot$  with further reduction of BG (Material screening, distillation etc.)
    - Reach < 10<sup>-46</sup> cm<sup>2</sup> for SI interaction of WIMPs with 1x10<sup>-5</sup> counts/day/ kg/keV<sub>ee</sub> BG rate