# Energy Resolution Improvement for CaF<sub>2</sub> Scintillating Bolometer by Machine Learning Analysis

Physics Department, Osaka Univ., <sup>A</sup> RCNP, Osaka Univ., <sup>B</sup> Institute for Basic Science, South Korea R. Shirai, X. Li, S. Yoshida, K. Tetsuno<sup>A</sup>, S. Umehara<sup>A</sup>, D.H Kwon<sup>B</sup>, H.L Kim<sup>B</sup>, Y.H Kim<sup>B</sup>



<sup>222</sup>Rn

0.25

0.2

0.15

sigi

3.8d

Same energy but

Variation in pulse



Of the components of the heat signal, the

<sup>214</sup>Pb

3.1m

photon and phonon pulse heights have intracrystal position dependence.  $\rightarrow$  Pulse height at 40ms is used as the energy value to remove the influence of position dependence.

The half-life of <sup>218</sup>Po is 3.1 min, which allows us to confirm the event at the same location.

For events occurring at the same location, there is a proportional relationship between the <u>energy</u> and maximum pulse height of the thermal signal.

 $\rightarrow$  If the position dependence can be eliminated, the energy resolution much improved(1.8%—>0.18% at <u>5MeV</u>)

Pulse height, rise time, time constant of

attenuation, etc. has multidimensional correlations. Distributed mostly on y = 1.09x5 0.1 0.15 0.2 0.25 0.3 0.35 0.4 0.45 0.  $\rightarrow$ Try to correct by machine learning Maximum Thermal signal pulse height(<sup>222</sup>Rn)

### 4. Machine Learning

4-1 labeled data creation

## 4-2 learning result

5.489MeV <u>×1.09</u> 6.002MeV

Events within 180s

labeled data1



Use the ratio of the maximum pulse height of the thermal signals of Rn and Po is 1.09. Take out where the data is almost certainly <sup>222</sup>Rn,<sup>218</sup>Po as training and test data.

(Learning is efficient because waveforms are similar for regions)

where LHratio is close)



