The study of the response of the semiconductor quantum device to radiation

^AGen Takakusa ^ASei Yoshida ^BYasuhiro Kishimoto ^CTomohiro Ohtsuka

A. Department of Physics, Osaka University Research Center Neutrino Science, Tohoku University C. Research Inst. Electrical Communication, Tohoku University

Introduction

What is Semiconductor Ouantum Devices?

- Semiconductor Devices which use some quantum effects (size : nm~mm)

Quantum Bit (Qubit) in Quantum Computer

· Some devices below can be used for qubit in quantum computers.

①Superconducting devices

- Most researched devices, but its coherence time (important for quantum computers) has not reached the theoretical expected value.
- → This is because of an effect of environmental radiation. 200 nm 🕡

②Semiconductor quantum devices (Quantum Dot)

- These devices such as Quantum Dot is small, therefore, the exposure to radiation can be suppressed.

Motivation for This Research

If semiconductor quantum devices have...

- · High resistance to radiation
- \rightarrow Means that quantum dot is a good candidate of gubit.
- High response to radiation
- \rightarrow Show the possibility of use for a sensor to detect radiation with high energy resolution.

Methods

Quantum Point Contact (QPC)

- One of the semiconductor devices
- At a low temperature(~6K) and with the gate voltage (Vg), the conductance between the gates gets quantized.

 \rightarrow We used QPC to measure a slight change of the electric field by electron-hole pairs from radiation. Set the gate voltage (Vg)

Measurement

We took two measurements with α -ray and X-ray. (at Aobayama, Tohoku University)

(for 2 days each measurement) α-rav source : ²⁴¹Am X-ray source : 55Fe

- 1) Put the source close to QPC, (~2.5mm) (irradiation "ON") and record 400 Isd values.
- 2 Rotate mechanically and keep the source away from QPC, (~30mm) (irradiation "OFF") and record 400 Isd values.
- 3 Repeat 1 and 2

a-ray measure

Recorded 400 I_{sd} values as 1 data file.

Due to the communication time between the computer and the current reader, it took about 1 second per 1 lsd value recording.

Results and Analysis





- → How can we find the difference between these ON and OFF data?
 - → We tried a machine learning.

B.

(model : SVM; good at binary classification)

Analysis with a Machine Learning

- Split dataset into ([for training] : [for test] = 4:1) ratio (Dataset means a specific group of data, e.g. by day)
- For 1000 ways to split data and to learn,
- calculate the average correct answer rate.



We can say about the difference in shape of data on Day 2 like this; (The part of a high correct answer rate)

 $ON \rightarrow Baseline$ often goes up and down.

 $OFF \rightarrow$ Baseline is almost stable and changes slowly.

We tried to quantify "this difference".

Comparing Variance with Baseline Modification



 \rightarrow Orange region may be good I_{sd} to detect α -rays

Summary

- We could see the difference between ON and OFF data only in a-ray measurement on Dav2
- We found a way to quantify the difference, but there may be better ones.
- · We should investigate the reproducibility, what the QPC condition depends on, and how the response is with use of other kinds of radiation.



200nm

2 -1.5 Gate Voltage (V)

Isd

QPC

intized Conductance

QPC and α-ray source