長基線ニュートリノ振動実験と ニュートリノの質量階層構造 CPもね(。・ω・。)ノ♡

市川温子 京都大学



電子、ミュー粒子、タウ粒子それぞれに対応したニュートリノがある。 っていうか、我々は

電子の裏側を電子ニュートリノ

ミュー粒子の裏側をミューニュートリノ

タウ粒子の裏側をタウ粒子 と名付けた。(1960年代)

名前を付けた後に質量があることがわかった。 フレーバー≠質量の固有状態



クォークも同じ。 uクォークの相棒は、d, c, tクォークの重ね合わせ状態。

混合行列はユニタリー行列、 すなわち複素数を含み粒子と反粒子で異なる

N世代の場合に、物理的に意味を持つ自由度は N(N-1)/2の実数 (オイラー角)と(N-1)(N-2)/2の複素位相角で表される。

- N=2 \rightarrow 1個の実数のみ (θ_c) CP対称性や破れない!
- N=3 → 3個の混合角と1個の複素位相角

$$U_{PMNS} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & +c_{23} & +s_{23} \\ 0 & -s_{23} & +c_{23} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} +c_{13} & 0 & +s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -s_{13}e^{i\delta} & 0 & +c_{13} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} +c_{12} & +s_{12} & 0 \\ -s_{12} & +c_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$(c_{ij} = \cos\theta_{ij}, s_{ij} = \sin\theta_{ij})$$
$$\theta_{12}, \theta_{23}, \theta_{13}$$
$$+ \delta (+2 \text{ Majorana phase})$$
$$\Delta m_{12}, \Delta m_{23}, \Delta m_{13} \qquad \checkmark$$

quarklepton
$$U_{CKM} \approx \begin{pmatrix} 0.97 & 0.23 & 0.004 \\ 0.23 & 1.01 & 0.04 \\ 0.008 & 0.04 & 0.89 \end{pmatrix}$$
 $U_{PMNS} \approx \begin{pmatrix} 0.82 & 0.55 & 0.16 \\ -0.49 & 0.52 & 0.55 \\ 0.20 & -0.65 & 0.70 \end{pmatrix}$

CKM (quark sector) $\delta^{\sim}60^{\circ}$ PMNS (lepton sector) δ^{\sim} ? Leptonic CPV can be much larger than Quark's

 $\delta_{CP}^{CKM} \sim 60^{\circ} \sim 70^{\circ}$ looks large, but cannot explain matter-dominant universe.

 δ_{CP} is dependent on definition.

Jarlskog Invariant : independent of definition. show the size of CP violation effect.

 $J_{CP} \equiv Im \left(U_{\mu 3} U_{e3}^* U_{e2} U_{\mu 2}^* \right) = \frac{1}{8} \sin 2\theta_{12} \sin 2\theta_{23} \sin 2\theta_{13} \cos \theta_{13} \sin \delta_{CP}$

 $J_{CP}^{CKM} \approx 3 \times 10^{-5}$

 $J_{CP}^{PMNS} \approx 0.03 \sin \delta_{CP}$

PDG2015 "NEUTRINOMASS, MIXING, AND OSCILLATIONS"

A value of $|\sin \theta_{13} \sin \delta| \gtrsim 0.09$, and thus $\sin \theta_{13} \gtrsim 0.09$, is a necessary condition for a successful "flavoured" leptogenesis with hierarchical heavy Majorana neutrinos when the CP violation required for the generation of the matter-antimatter asymmetry of the Universe is provided entirely by the Dirac CP violating phase in the neutrino mixing matrix [191]. This condition is comfortably compatible both with the measured value of $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$ and with the best fit value of $\delta \cong 3\pi/2$. $|\sin \theta_{13} \sin \delta| \ge 0.09 \rightarrow |\sin \delta| \ge 0.58$

ニュートリノ振動でCPの破れが見えたからといって、即物質優勢宇宙を説明できる訳で はないが、物質優勢宇宙を説明できるくらい大きなCPの破れの源となる得る、ということ。

全貌を理解するには、Majorana位相も重要。



Appearance vs. Disappearance

Why disappear?

How is the neutrino flavor identified?

Neutrino flavor is tagged via charged current (CC) interaction.

threshold energy

$$\begin{split} \nu_e + n &\to e^- + p & 0 \text{ MeV for } \nu_e \\ \nu_\mu + n &\to \mu^- + p & 110 \text{ MeV for } \nu_\mu \\ \nu_\tau + n &\to \tau^- + p & 3.5 \text{ GeV for } \nu_\tau \end{split}$$

At Ev < Ethreshold,

that flavor is not observed and recognized as disappearance.









えられる。

Example: ~1v/cm²/s at T2K Far detector(295km away) (@750kW proton beam power) 加速器長基線ニュートリノ実験

3-flavor Oscillation (simplified) L is too small, or E is too high **Oscillation Probabilities** when $\left|\Delta m_{32}^2\right| \frac{L}{4F} \sim \frac{\pi}{2}$ for Δm_{21}^2 to oscillate neglect Δm_{21}^2 term because $\Delta m_{21}^2 \ll |\Delta m_{32}^2| \approx |\Delta m_{31}^2|$ $\geq \theta_{23}$: v_{μ} disappearance $P_{\mu \to \mu} \approx 1 - \sin^2 2\theta_{23} \sin^2 (1.27 \Delta m^2 L / E_v)$ $\Delta m^2 \approx \Delta m_{23}^2 \approx \Delta m_{13}^2$ Common $\geq \theta_{13}: v_e$ appearance $P_{\mu \to e} \approx \sin^2 \theta_{23} \left(\sin^2 2 \theta_{13} \right) \cdot \sin^2 \left(1.27 \left(\Delta m^2 \right) L / E_{\nu} \right)$

17

v_e appearance (complete version in vacuum)

$$P(\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}) = 4C_{13}^{2}S_{13}^{2}S_{23}^{2}\sin^{2}\Phi_{31}$$

$$+8C_{13}^{2}S_{12}S_{13}S_{23}(C_{12}C_{23}\cos\delta - S_{12}S_{13}S_{23})\cos\Phi_{32}\sin\Phi_{31}\sin\Phi_{21}$$

$$CP_{\text{conserving}}$$

$$-8C_{13}^{2}C_{12}C_{23}S_{12}S_{13}S_{23}\sin\delta\sin\Phi_{32}\sin\Phi_{31}\sin\Phi_{21}$$

$$CP_{\text{volating}}$$

$$+4S_{12}^{2}C_{13}^{2}(C_{12}^{2}C_{23}^{2} + S_{12}^{2}S_{23}^{2}S_{13}^{2} - 2C_{12}C_{23}S_{12}S_{23}S_{13}\cos\delta)\sin^{2}\Phi_{21}$$
Solar

 $\theta_{12} = 33.6^{\circ} \pm 1.0^{\circ}$ $\theta_{23} = 45^{\circ} \pm 6^{\circ} (90\% \text{CL})$ $\theta_{13} = 9.1^{\circ} \pm 0.6^{\circ}$

Max. 27% asymmetry (violation) by CP phase for $\theta_{13} = 9.1^{\circ}$, $\theta_{23} = 45^{\circ}$

13

v_e appearance (complete version in vacuum)

At oscillation maximum,

$$P(\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}) = 4C_{13}^{2}S_{13}^{2}S_{23}^{2}\sin^{2}\Phi_{31}$$

$$= +8C_{13}^{2}S_{12}S_{13}S_{23}(C_{12}C_{23}\cos\delta - S_{12}S_{13}S_{23})\cos\Phi_{32}\sin\Phi_{31}\sin\Phi_{21}$$

$$= -8C_{13}^{2}C_{12}C_{23}S_{12}S_{13}S_{23}\sin\delta\sin\Phi_{32}\sin\Phi_{31}\sin\Phi_{21}$$

$$= -8C_{13}^{2}C_{12}C_{23}S_{12}S_{13}S_{23}\sin\delta\sin\Phi_{32}\sin\Phi_{31}\sin\Phi_{21}$$

$$= -4S_{12}^{2}C_{13}^{2}(C_{12}C_{23}^{2} + S_{12}^{2}S_{23}^{2}S_{13}^{2} - 2C_{12}C_{23}S_{12}S_{23}S_{13}\cos\delta)\sin^{2}\Phi_{21}$$

$$= C_{ij} = \cos\theta_{ij}, S_{ij} = \sin\theta_{ij}, \quad \Phi_{ij} = \Delta m_{ij}^{2}\frac{L}{4E_{\nu}}$$

$$P(\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}) \cong 4C_{13}^{2}S_{13}^{2}S_{23}^{2} - 8C_{13}^{2}C_{12}C_{23}S_{12}S_{13}S_{23}\sin\delta\sin\Phi_{21}$$

Observation



T2K (Tokai to Kamioka) 実験





T2K Far Detector – Super Kamiokande -



Ş

Observation of Electron Neutrino Appearance in a Muon Neutrino Beam

The T2K experiment has observed electron neutrino appearance in a muon neutrino beam produced 295 km from the Super-Kamiokande detector with a peak energy of 0.6 GeV. A total of 28 electron neutrino events were detected with an energy distribution consistent with an appearance signal, corresponding to a significance of 7.3σ when compared to 4.92 ± 0.55 expected background events. In the Pontecorvo-Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata mixing model, the electron neutrino appearance signal depends on several parameters including three mixing angles θ_{12} , θ_{23} , θ_{13} , a mass difference Δm_{32}^2 and a *CP* violating phase δ_{CP} . In this neutrino oscillation scenario, assuming $|\Delta m_{32}^2| = 2.4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$, $\sin^2\theta_{23} = 0.5$, and $\Delta m_{32}^2 > 0$ ($\Delta m_{32}^2 < 0$), a best-fit value of $\sin^2 2\theta_{13} = 0.140^{+0.038}_{-0.032}$ ($0.170^{+0.045}_{-0.037}$) is obtained at $\delta_{CP} = 0$. When combining the result with the current best knowledge of oscillation parameters including the world average value of θ_{13} from reactor experiments, some values of δ_{CP} are disfavored at the 90% C.L.



2014年 appearance現象が、初めて5o以 上の有意性で確立! レプトンセクターにおけるCP対称性の破 れの測定が視野に。

ところで、Earth is not symmetric about flavor nor CP



終状態を変えないような相互作用も、位相は変えてしまう。(ポテンシャルとして感じる)





Time evolution of wave function

$$\exp(-iHt)$$

Hamiltonian in vacuum

$$-Uegin{pmatrix} p_1 & 0 & 0\ 0 & p_2 & 0\ 0 & 0 & p_3 \end{pmatrix} U^{\dagger}\simeq -p_1 + rac{1}{2E}\,Uegin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0\ 0 & \Delta m^2_{21} & 0\ 0 & 0 & \Delta m^2_{31} \end{pmatrix} U^{\dagger}$$

Hamiltonian of the interaction with matter

 $\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}G_F n_e & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ $n_e: \text{electron density}$ (Opposite sign for \mathbf{v} and $\mathbf{\bar{v}}$) The part which affect the phase is, $H \approx U \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\Delta m_{21}^2}{2E} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\Delta m_{31}^2}{2E} \end{pmatrix} U + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a}{2E} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \checkmark \text{ Given boundary}$ $\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{L} \\ \mathcal{L} \\ \mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{L} \\ \mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{L} \\ \mathcal{L} \\ \mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{L} \\ \mathcal{L} \\ \mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{L} \\ \mathcal{L} \\$

This cannot be solved analytically.

$$a = 2\sqrt{2}G_F n_e E = 7.56 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2 \frac{\rho}{g \text{ cm}^{-3}} \frac{E}{GeV}$$

More complete eq. of v_e appearance (1st order for matter effect)

- α for $\overline{\mathbf{v}}$



Actually, w/ θ_{23} uncertainty





2016 T2K Expected number of (anti) v_e events

		$\delta_{CP} = -\pi/2$	$\delta_{CP} = 0$	$\delta_{CP} = +\pi/2$	$2 \qquad \delta_{CP} = \pi$
u-mode	Normal	28.7	24.2	19.6	24.1
run	Inverted	25.4	21.3	17.1	21.3
<i>v</i> -mode run	Normal	6.0	6.9	7.8	6.8
	Inverted	6.5	7.4	8.4	7.4
		$\delta_{CP} = -\pi/2$	$\delta_{CP} = 0$	$\delta_{CP} = +\pi/2$	$\delta_{CP} = \pi$
\bar{v}_{μ}	$_{\iota} \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_{e}$	2.8	3.8	4.8	3.8
$ u_{\mu}$	$_{\iota} \rightarrow \nu_{e}$	1.0	0.9	0.7	0.8
ot	her bkg.	bkg. 2.2			
				2	

 $(2) \sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.53$

* 反ニュートリノは、断面積が1/3くらいになるので、データを貯めるのが大変。

$\boldsymbol{\nu_e}$ and $\boldsymbol{\overline{\nu}_e}$ selected event

Expectation @sin $^{2}\theta_{23} = 0.53$

		$\delta_{CP} = -rac{\pi}{2}$	$\delta_{CP} = 0$	$\delta_{CP} = +rac{\pi}{2}$	$\delta_{CP} = \pi$
u-mode run	Normal	28.7	24.2	19.6	24.1
	Inverted	25.4	21.3	17.1	21.3
$ar{ u}$ -mode run	Normal	6.0	6.9	7.8	6.8
	Inverted	6.5	7.4	8.4	7.4



統計的ふらつきのせいだろう が、AsymmetryがPMNSで予想 される最大よりも大きい!



significance to mass hierarchy



 $\Delta \chi^2$ にもとづくp-valueはあまり正 当に評価できない。 IHに対するp-valueは低いがNHに 対しても低いから。

代わりにBayesian posterior probabilityをリリースした。

	Normal	Inverted	sum
$\theta_{23} < 45^{\circ}$	29%	10%	39%
$\theta_{23} > 45^{\circ}$	46%	14%	61%
sum	75%	25%	100%

NHである確率は75%....

T2K Run1-7c preliminary



 ν_{μ} to ν_{e} oscillation probability at oscillation maximum $sin^2 2\theta_{13} = 0.1$, $sin^2 2\theta_{23} = 1$, w/ matter effect $P(v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_{e})$ 0.09 0.08 T2K Normal Hierarchy 0.07 0.06 0.05 T2K Inverted Hierarchy 0.04 0.03 0.02 0.01 150 -150 -100 -50 0 50 100 $CP \delta$ (deg)





 ν_{μ} to $\nu_{\rm e}$ oscillation probability at oscillation maximum $sin^22\theta_{13}=0.1$, $sin^22\theta_{23}=1$, w/ matter effect $P(v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_{e})$ 0.09 Normal Hierarchy 0.08 0.07 0.06 0.05 T2K (rough) full stat. error+/-1 σ 0.04 0.03 **Inverted Hierarchy** 0.02 0.01 -150 -100 -50 0 50 100 150 $CP \delta$ (deg)

 ν_{μ} to ν_{e} oscillation probability at oscillation maximum $sin^22\theta_{13}=0.1$, $sin^22\theta_{23}=1$, w/ matter effect $P(v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_{e})$ 0.09 Normal Hierarchy 0.08 0.07 0.06 0.05 T2K (rough) full stat. error+/-1 σ 0.04 0.03 **Inverted Hierarchy** 0.02 $CP \delta$ allowed region 0.01 150 -150 -100 -50 0 50 100 $CP \delta$ (deg)



 ν_{μ} to ν_{e} oscillation probability at oscillation maximum $sin^22\theta_{13}=0.1$, $sin^22\theta_{23}=1$, w/ matter effect $P(v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_{e})$ 0.09 Normal Hierarchy Soild T2K 0.08 Dotted : NOvA (US, 810km) 0.07 NOvA Allowed region 0.06 0.05 2₭ (rough) full stat. error+/-1σ. 0.04 0.03 Inverted Hierarchy 0.02 $CP \delta$ allowed region 0.01 -150 -100 -50 50 100 150 0 CP δ (deg)

 ν_{μ} to ν_{e} oscillation probability at oscillation maximum $sin^22\theta_{13}=0.1$, $sin^22\theta_{23}=1$, w/ matter effect $P(v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_{e})$ 0.09 Normal Hierarchy Dotted : NOvA 0.08 T2K+NOvA allowed region 0.07 NOvA Allowed region 0.06 0.05 T2K (rough) full stat. error+/-1σ 0.04 0.03 Inverted Hierarchy 0.02 $CP \delta$ allowed region 0.01 -150 -100 -50 50 100 150 0 CP δ (deg)

See Poster P1.033 by G. Davies and C. Backhouse for details on Reconstruction

Event Selection



Improved Event Selection

P. Vahle, Neutrino 2016

- This analysis features a new event selection technique based on ideas from computer vision and deep learning
- Calibrated hit maps are inputs to Convolutional Visual Network (CVN)

- Series of image processing transformations applied to extract abstract features
- Extracted features used as inputs to a conventional neural network to classify the event



A. Aurisano et al., arXiv:1604.01444
Posters P1.028 by A. Radovic, P1.032 by
F. Psihas and A. Himmel for more detail

Improved Event Selection

10

P. Vahle, Neutrino 2016

- This analysis features a new event selection technique based on ideas from computer vision and deep learning
- Calibrated hit maps are inputs to Convolutional Visual Network (CVN)
- Series of image processing transformations applied to extract abstract features
- Extracted features used as inputs to a conventional neural network to classify the event



A. Aurisano et al., arXiv:1604.01444 Posters P1.028 by A. Radovic, P1.032 by F. Psihas and A. Himmel for more detail

Improved Event Selection

1

P. Vahle, Neutrino 2016

- This analysis features a new event selection technique based on ideas from computer vision and deep learning
- Calibrated hit maps are inputs to Convolutional Visual Network (CVN)
- Series of image processing transformations applied to extract abstract features
- Extracted features used as inputs to a conventional neural network to classify the event



Improvement in sensitivity from CVN equivalent to 30% more exposure

Contours

sin $^{2}2\theta_{23}$ が0.5からずれたため、 NOvA単独では制限できない状況。

P. Vahle, Neutrino 2016

27 💿

- Fit for hierarchy, δ_{CP}, sin²θ₂₃
 - Constrain Δm² and sin²θ₂₃ with NOvA disappearance results
 - Not a full joint fit, systematics and other oscillation parameters not correlated
- Global best fit Normal Hierarchy

 $\delta_{CP} = 1.49\pi$ $\sin^2(\theta_{23}) = 0.40$

- best fit IH-NH, $\Delta \chi^2 = 0.47$
- both octants and hierarchies allowed at 1σ
- 3σ exclusion in IH, lower octant around
 δ_{CP}=π/2

Antineutrino data will help resolve degeneracies, particularly for non-maximal mixing Planned for Spring 2017



Atmospheric neutrino

Density $[kg \cdot m^{-3} \times 10^3]$



MSW effect 二世代の場合で考える

$$\begin{split} H &\approx \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta \\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\Delta m^2}{2E} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}G_F n_e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\Delta m^2}{2E} \sin^2\theta + \sqrt{2}G_F n_e & -\frac{\Delta m^2}{2E} \sin\theta \cos\theta \\ -\frac{\Delta m^2}{2E} \sin\theta \cos\theta & \frac{\Delta m^2}{2E} \cos^2\theta \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

固有値は、

$$\frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\Delta m^2}{2E} + \sqrt{2}G_F n_e \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{\Delta m^2}{2E} \cos 2\theta - \sqrt{2}G_F n_e\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\Delta m^2}{2E}\right)^2 \sin^2 2\theta} \\ A^2 & B^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

有効混合角は $A^2 & B^2 \end{split}$

$$\tan 2\theta_{matter} = \frac{B}{A} = \frac{\sin 2\theta}{\cos 2\theta - \frac{2\sqrt{2}G_F n_e E}{\Delta m^2}}$$

$$\tan 2\theta_{matter} = \frac{\sin 2\theta}{\cos 2\theta - \frac{2\sqrt{2}G_F n_e E}{\Delta m^2}} \Rightarrow n_e = \frac{\Delta m^2 \cos 2\theta}{2\sqrt{2}G_F E}$$
で最大混合に! = 共鳴条件
質量固有値 $\frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\Delta m^2}{2E} + \sqrt{2}G_F n_e \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{\Delta m^2}{2E}\cos 2\theta - \sqrt{2}G_F n_e\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\Delta m^2}{2E}\right)^2 \sin^2 2\theta} \right]$

 $\theta = 0$ の時のみ、 $\Delta m^2_{matter,1}$ と $\Delta m^2_{matter,2}$ が交差する。



Atmospheric neutrino

Density $[kg \cdot m^{-3} \times 10^3]$



S.Moriyama, neutrino2016



- SK only (θ_{13} fixed): $\Delta \chi^2 = \chi^2_{NH} \chi^2_{IH} = -4.3$ (-3.1 expected)
- Under IH hypothesis, the probability to obtain $\Delta \chi^2$ of -4.3 or less is 0.031 (sin² θ_{23} =0.6) and 0.007 (sin² θ_{23} =0.4). Under NH hypothesis, the probability is 0.45 (sin² θ_{23} =0.6).



S.Moriyama, neutrino2016



• SK+T2K (θ_{13} fixed): $\Delta \chi^2 = \chi^2_{NH} - \chi^2_{IH} = -5.2$

(-3.8 exp. for SK best, -3.1 for combined best)

• Under IH hypothesis, the probability to obtain $\Delta \chi^2$ of -5.2 or less is 0.024 (sin² θ_{23} =0.6) and 0.001 (sin² θ_{23} =0.4). NH: 0.43 (sin² θ_{23} =0.6) ¹³



Proton delivery to T2K



Stable operation at **450kW** achieved (first design goal: 750kW) (Ep=30GeV) x (**230Tp/5us pulse**) x (2.48sec cycle)

Number of protons on target (POT) 18.3×10^{20} accumulated (10.7×10^{20} for nu & 7.6×10^{20} anti-nu) 7.8×10^{21} aimed as original T2K goal

T2K+NOvA sensitivity

Assuming both experiments run 50% v-mode, 50% anti-v mode.

with 5% normalization uncertainty on signal and 10% normalization uncertainty on background. solid : w/o sys. error

Shown is NH case.



Disappearance of v_e from reactor

$$P_{e \to e} \approx 1 - \sin^{2} 2\theta_{12} \cdot \sin^{2} (1.27 \Delta m_{21}^{2} L / E_{v})$$

$$P_{e \to e} \approx 1 - \sin^{2} 2\theta_{13} \cdot \sin^{2} (1.27 \Delta m_{31}^{2} L / E_{v})$$

$$\int_{0.8}^{90.6} \int_{0.2}^{1} \Delta m_{21}^{2} = 5 \times 10^{-5} ; \sin^{2}(2\theta_{12}) = 0.8$$

$$\Delta m_{31}^{2} = 2 \times 10^{-3} ; \sin^{2}(2\theta_{13}) = 0.1$$

$$KamLAND$$

$$LE (km/MeV)$$

Reactor Next step -Mass Hierarchy-

$$\begin{array}{c} P_{ee}(L/E) = 1 - P_{21} - P_{31} - P_{32} \\ P_{21} = \cos^4(\theta_{13}) \sin^2(2\theta_{12}) \sin^2(\Delta_{21}) \\ P_{31} = \cos^2(\theta_{12}) \sin^2(2\theta_{13}) \sin^2(\Delta_{32}) \\ P_{e \rightarrow e} \approx 1 - \sin^{-2} 2\theta_{12} \cdot \sin^{-2}\left(1.27 \Delta m_{21}^2 L / E_{\nu}\right) \\ P_{e \rightarrow e} \approx 1 - \sin^{-2} 2\theta_{13} \cdot \sin^{-2}\left(1.27 \Delta m_{31}^2 L / E_{\nu}\right) \\ P_{e \rightarrow e} \approx 1 - \sin^{-2} 2\theta_{13} \cdot \sin^{-2}\left(1.27 \Delta m_{31}^2 L / E_{\nu}\right) \\ P_{e \rightarrow e} \approx 1 - \sin^{-2} 2\theta_{13} \cdot \sin^{-2}\left(1.27 \Delta m_{31}^2 L / E_{\nu}\right) \\ P_{e \rightarrow e} \approx 1 - \sin^{-2} 2\theta_{13} \cdot \sin^{-2}\left(1.27 \Delta m_{31}^2 L / E_{\nu}\right) \\ P_{e \rightarrow e} \approx 1 - \sin^{-2} 2\theta_{13} \cdot \sin^{-2}\left(1.27 \Delta m_{31}^2 L / E_{\nu}\right) \\ P_{e \rightarrow e} \approx 1 - \sin^{-2} 2\theta_{13} \cdot \sin^{-2}\left(1.27 \Delta m_{31}^2 L / E_{\nu}\right) \\ P_{e \rightarrow e} \approx 1 - \sin^{-2} 2\theta_{13} \cdot \sin^{-2}\left(1.27 \Delta m_{31}^2 L / E_{\nu}\right) \\ P_{e \rightarrow e} \approx 1 - \sin^{-2} 2\theta_{13} \cdot \sin^{-2}\left(1.27 \Delta m_{31}^2 L / E_{\nu}\right) \\ P_{e \rightarrow e} \approx 1 - \sin^{-2} 2\theta_{13} \cdot \sin^{-2}\left(1.27 \Delta m_{31}^2 L / E_{\nu}\right) \\ P_{e \rightarrow e} \approx 1 - \sin^{-2} 2\theta_{13} \cdot \sin^{-2}\left(1.27 \Delta m_{31}^2 L / E_{\nu}\right) \\ P_{e \rightarrow e} \approx 1 - \sin^{-2} 2\theta_{13} \cdot \sin^{-2}\left(1.27 \Delta m_{31}^2 L / E_{\nu}\right) \\ P_{e \rightarrow e} \approx 1 - \sin^{-2} 2\theta_{13} \cdot \sin^{-2}\left(1.27 \Delta m_{31}^2 L / E_{\nu}\right) \\ P_{e \rightarrow e} \approx 1 - \sin^{-2} 2\theta_{13} \cdot \sin^{-2}\left(1.27 \Delta m_{31}^2 L / E_{\nu}\right) \\ P_{e \rightarrow e} \approx 1 - \sin^{-2} 2\theta_{13} \cdot \sin^{-2}\left(1.27 \Delta m_{31}^2 L / E_{\nu}\right)$$

Reactor Next step Mass Hierarchy

$$P_{ee}(L/E) = 1 - P_{21} - P_{31} - P_{32}$$

$$P_{21} = \cos^4(\theta_{13}) \sin^2(2\theta_{12}) \sin^2(\Delta_{21})$$

$$P_{31} = \cos^2(\theta_{12}) \sin^2(2\theta_{13}) \sin^2(\Delta_{31})$$

$$P_{32} = \sin^2(\theta_{12}) \sin^2(2\theta_{13}) \sin^2(\Delta_{32})$$

$$\theta_{12} = 34.4^{\circ}$$

$$\cos^2(\theta_{12}) = 0.68$$

$$\sin^2(\theta_{12}) = 0.32$$



(2) = 0.32

Need

- ~20kt(!) LS detector
- 2~3%/VE resolution •

JUNO

- − LS large volume: → for statistics
- − High Light(PE) → for energy resolution

Steel Truss Holding PMTs ~17000 x 20" ~34000 x 3"

Acrylic Sphere filled with 20 kt LS





Neutrino 2016 - July 6, 2016

T2K-II target statistics and systematics

✓ Target Beam power1.3 MW

✓ 20x10²¹ POT by 2025~2026

✓ Increase effective statistics by up to 50%

- ✓ horn current, SK fiducial volume, new event samples
- ✓ Reduce systematic error ~6% → ~4%



Expected number of events (1:1 ν : $\bar{\nu}$ running case) ν_e sample : 455 evts \pm 20% change depending on δ_{CP} $\bar{\nu}_e$ sample : 129 evts \pm 13% change depending on δ_{CP}

The T2K off-axis near detector: ND280





http://arxiv.org/abs/1607.08004



T2K-II Sensitivity to CP-violation

60

Hyper-Kamiokande

2026年頃までに建設するのを目標としている。

韓国にも同じ規模のタンクを作る計画が議論されている



スーパーカミオカンデの約10倍(x2の可能性も)



J-PARCニュートリノ、大気 ニュートリノ両方を使っている。

DUNE



▶ 基線長1,300km

- ▶ビーム強度 1.2 MW
- ▶ 10-kt x 4個の 液体アルゴン検出器
 ▶ 2026年開始を目指している





IceCube PINGU

建設に5年



63



ニュートリノ振動、確実に進んでいます。 CPの破れが見える前夜? MHも数年で決まるかも。

NH

•

